## NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, CHAIRMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

June 25, 2012 1:09 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

## A P P E A R A N C E S:

PETER J. SCHMITT Chair

KEVAN ABRAHAMS (Arrived at 1:21 p.m.)
Minority Leader

ROBERT TROIANO

CARRIÉ SOLAGES

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

JOSEPH SCANNELL

FRANCIS X. BECKER

HOWARD KOPEL

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

RICHARD J. NICOLELLO

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

NORMA GONSALVES

JOSEPH BELESI

DENNIS DUNNE, SR.

DENISE FORD

JUDITH JACOBS (Arrived at 1:25 p.m.)

ROSE MARIE WALKER

DAVID DENENBERG (Arrived at 1:20 p.m.)

WILLIAM MULLER

Clerk of the Legislature

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

## LIST OF SPEAKERS

SARAH TRIOLA	•			•					•	•				8
ASHLEY ACCOMPERA	•			•										9
SAMANTHA DIGERONIMO	•			•							•			10
MARTIN MANION	•													12
JACKSON CHIN	•			•						•	•			13
ANDREW MALEKOFF	•			•						•	•			15
JOSEPH SMITH	•			•						•	•			17
PAT BOYLE	•			•										20
DAVID GOODMAN	•			•						•	•			22
JAMIE BOGENSHUTZ	•			•										24
KRISTEN FERRARI	•			•					•	•			•	26
TOM BRUNO	•			•					•	•			•	29
PAMELA CLARK	•			•					•	•			•	30
MARTIN VOLK	•			•					•	•			•	37
MAURICE CHALMERS	•			•					•	•			•	40
TIM SULLIVAN							•		•	•			•	49
ERIC NAUGHTON	•			•					•	•			•	49
CHARMAINE CLARKE	•			•					•	•			•	115
JUDY SANFORD-GUISE.	•			•					•	•			•	117
JAMES HODGES	•			•					•	•			•	120
VICTOR SANTIAGO				•						•		•	•	122
JULIE ANN SABINO			•		•					•	•		•	126

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 5
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Members please take
3	their seat.
4	Please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance,
5	which will be led by Legislator Denise Ford.
6	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
7	recited.)
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have to we
9	recessed the last meeting of the legislature, so
10	we have to adjourn that meeting.
11	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
12	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
14	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
15	All in favor of adjourning please say
16	aye.
17	(Aye.)
18	We stand adjourned.
19	Now we'll call the special meeting to
20	order.
21	Mr. Clerk, would you please call the
22	roll?
23	CLERK MULLER: Deputy Presiding Officer
24	Gonsalves?
25	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Present.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12	6
2	CLERK MULLER: Alternate Deputy	
3	Presiding Officer Kopel?	
4	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.	
5	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Troiano?	
6	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Here.	
7	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Solages?	
8	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.	
9	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Ford?	
10	LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.	
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Scannell?	
12	LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Here.	
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Becker?	
14	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Present.	
15	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Muscarella?	
16	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.	
17	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicolello?	
18	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.	
19	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?	
20	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.	
21	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?	
22	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.	
23	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?	
24	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Here.	
25	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?	

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 7
2	(No verbal response.)
3	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
7	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-
8	Whitton?
9	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
10	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer
15	Schmitt?
16	LEGISLATOR SCHMITT: Here. And
17	Legislator Dunne is in the back room. We can
18	wheel him out to answer the quorum call if that's
19	necessary.
20	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum without
21	him.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: What is it?
23	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I want him on the
25	record as attending. Doesn't he have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

I would ask the speaker's indulgence to let us try to keep to that schedule.

First speakers are Ashley Accompera and Sara Loyola. I apologize if I mispronounce anybody's name.

MS. TRIOLA: My name is Sarah Triola, and I'm a student of Harriet Eisman Community School. And the community school is the academic

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

And Happy

25

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 Birthday.

Samantha DiGeronimo.

MS. DIGERONIMO: Hello. My name is Samantha DiGeronimo. I graduated in 2008 from Harriet Eisman Community School, which is an academic component of the Long Beach Reach. I am 23 years old, I am a single mother, and I'm a former drug addict. I have lived in a very broken home with a very dysfunctional family. At 13 I was diagnosed with panic anxiety disorder and agoraphobia. And to maintain that, I was self medicating just to keep everything under control.

I was going to a typical high school and I just couldn't handle the pressures of it. At 15 I dropped out, and using drugs just consumed everything about me. I was informed about the school in Long Beach, and was referred to speak with the principal, Julie. And I did my intake and I was accepted. At 18 years old I graduated, 100 percent sober, I had gotten my diploma with the help of being associated with Long Beach Reach. I had gone to counseling there, and they are very in synch with Harriet Eisman. They work

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with all the students there and have a very good relationship.

Right before I graduated I found out I was pregnant, and Julie had once again referred me to Long Beach Reach and to the counseling center to deal with my pregnancy, to continue to deal with being sober, and a struggle with being a single mom. To shut this school down, to shut schools and facilities like this down for students like me who couldn't deal with going to a typical high school, who couldn't deal with the stress and the pressures of it all, and then to get pregnant, it was a lot. I had the wonderful support of my teachers and my principal and everybody at Long Beach Reach. And without that school and without those facilities I wouldn't be here today. I wouldn't be standing up here to be able to speak on behalf of my teachers. Even the current students who struggle with what they go through -- and I wouldn't have my diploma.

And, so I would like to thank Julie for everything she has done for me and my teacher Robert and the rest of the teachers there who have made such an impact on our lives. Four

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 years later, I'm still standing here every week fighting with them.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Martin Manion.

MR. MANION: Good afternoon,

Legislators. My name is Martin Manion. I, too,

am part of the recovery family. I am an

alcoholic and an addict. First and foremost, I'd

like to thank the panel. I'd like to thank the

panel for saving my life. Because if you people

are responsible for the budget and the budget

cuts, I thank you for not cutting the budget

sooner, because you are looking at a life that

you all saved. Behind me there are probably many

more lives that you have saved by not making

budget cuts that affect the programs that help

the people with addiction.

I could tell you a lot of war stories but
I'm not because most of them are very horrific.
And anyone that knows anything about addiction,
any loved ones, family members, relatives,
friends, it's a horrible thing. It's a horrible
thing to witness and not feel that you have any

2 | attorney with Latino Justice PRLDEF, and I'm here

3 | to both make two points. First is we are

4 | initiating a redistricting reform coalition for

5 | the Nassau County redistricting process. And for

6 those of us in the room, I see approximately 400

7 | people with signs who are all concerned about the

8 loss of funding to their programs, critical

9 programs to youth and the elderly, and those

10 | seeking disability support.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We make the point that the redistricting process here has gone through some very terrible experiences, and we want to reach out to the public, to this community, and to this Legislature so that you do not hold hostage important legislation that serves your constituents, to the ones especially who have the most vulnerable needs in this county. Do not hold hostage your need to vote your conscience and to your constituency because of the redistricting dynamics that are in the background. Much of this is described in the Newsday editorial that I believe came out today. I'm not here to point fingers at any political party. But we are all here responsible.

And we, as a coalition of Latino Justice, Common Cause New York, the Long Island Civic Participation Project, and others, we are concerned about your decision making. We are concerned that you meet your legal obligations and duties as legislators. But please do not confuse the need to do what you have to do to protect and provide services to this community, and don't you link it with the redistricting process, which we know is complicated. And we are here to offer that support to this community and to this county legislature.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you very much.

Andrew Malekoff.

MR. MALEKOFF: Hello. My name is Andrew Malekoff. I live in Long Beach, and I'm the executive director of North Shore Child and Family Guidance Center, a children's mental health agency. First, I would just like to thank the young people and older folks that spoke before me, that spoke so eloquently about their own experiences and about the help that they received and the support that they receive on an

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 ongoing basis.

I know that folks up here care about children as well. Many of you have Facebooks and your Facebooks are public and there are photos of you and your children and grandchildren, nieces and nephews that I know you would do anything for to make them healthy, happy, and safe. And I know when you look at your children, grandchildren, nephews, and nieces that you can see the faces of all children. And so that's why I'd like to ask not only from a sort of emotional perspective, but from a practical perspective, if there's any sense as to what the cuts that are being proposed or promised on July 6 will generate in terms of additional cost.

So to do that, I'd like to ask if you know how much it costs a year to house an inmate in Nassau County jail. Does anybody know? It's \$86,000. \$86,000 a year. I got that information from the correctional facility. Just in one of our cuts alone, which is \$72,000 for our chemical dependency program, that's less than it would cost to house one inmate in the correctional facility for a year. Since 2009, if you look at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of us many times. What's happening is

unacceptable. What is happening has got to stop.

3

1

2

We cannot continue to hold the lives of 4 youngsters hostage. We cannot continue to hold

5

the lives of families and the safety and security

in our communities hostage. There has got to be

We have proposed -- and I believe that

6

7

There has got to be a solution. an answer.

8

9 you've all been informed -- that we have proposed

10 a compromise, a simple solution. There have been

identified fund balances or contingency funds 11

12 that can be used to support and do what needs to

13 There is bonding that can be approved. be done.

There are other revenues, other savings that can 14

15 be found. But you have got to come together and

16 you have got to say that the survival of our

17 communities, the supports and resources that take

18 care of young people, that take care of people

19 who cannot do for themselves, that that is the

20 priority. That is why we have a government.

21 That is why we have the services that have

22 evolved and been put in place over these last 40

23 years. To dismantle that, to throw your hands up

24 and say because this one solution, this one idea

25 has not been accepted by the other side is not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

acceptable. You've got to come together.

Politics has been given a very bad name. The fact of the matter is it's at the core of our democracy. And at the core of that is compromise. At the core of our democracy is people coming together with different ideas and being able to speak rationally and work collaboratively and find solutions. That is why each and every one of you were elected to represent us, and that is why we expect that you will not leave this chamber until you find a solution. And it won't be the one solution that you might like it to be. It's going to have to be a blend. It's going to have to be a combination of ideas. But out of that can come creative solutions.

Several years ago, this legislature took a bold step and said we will no longer consider young people and families discretionary, and you established a dedicated funding stream to support those services; the idea being that the whim of politics, or the whim of the moment, or the crisis of the moment will not result in the dismantling of a system that is so precious to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How many of you up there -- and you're doing a great job of holding back your comments so that we can get it in; I appreciate that. But just a show of hands, how many up there are social workers? I didn't think so. How many are psychologists or psychiatrists or anybody who can do anything about that?

My point with all of that is, is that

2 | unfortunately, and they did a great job of it,

3 | but the kids come up here and adults come up here

4 | and they spew their problems out to you and they

5 | start talking to you about this is what happened

6 | to me, this is what my life; that's not your job.

7 | Your job is not to have to listen to that.

8 | That's my job. That's a lot of people out here's

9 | jobs. And we do those jobs damn well, because we

10 do have great kids like this who come out of

11 | this.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

The fact that we're doing our jobs means that it would be fair that you do yours. And your job is to be able to help find the funding to make sure that we can do this. Think about it. We're really actually doing a lot of the county's work, if you really think about it, because we're helping to protect these kids, as Mr. Malekoff said before, from getting into a system that's going to cost you a hell of a lot more than the system that you have in place. And I know you know this. I know you know that it's commonsense. But I don't want any more kids or adults or anybody else to have to come before you and spew out their problems. Let them come to

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2 us. Let the people who can really help them,

3 help them. This, to me, is simply a commonsense

4 | approach to getting this whole thing done.

And I know Judge Semenga (phonetic) told me many years ago, son, you use commonsense and politics in the same sentence and you're wasting your breath. But, at the same time, whether that be true or not, you need to be able to really step up to the plate now and get this done and get it done soon. July 5 is coming quicker than we know it. Communities are going to be hurt. Each one of your communities are going to be hurt. And I don't want these kids or adults having to come to you for advice; I want them coming to me.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: David Goodman.

MR. GOODMAN: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good afternoon.

MR. GOODMAN: My name is Dave Goodman.

I'm here to speak on behalf of the youth centers.

And after being here, I'll speak for everybody

24 because everybody needs help.

My family has lived in Nassau County

2 since 1987. I am a retired New York City

3 correction warden. During my 24 years of

4 | service, I was honored to be assigned as the

5 | acting chief responsible for a multi-million city

6 | budget. During a five year period, we introduced

7 | successful education programs, rehabs, education,

8 everything to help these young children. The

9 | recidivism rate, proved to be effective, programs

10 work, build communities and save taxpayers'

11 money.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

At 11 years old, my father died. I made a choice to take advantage of afternoon school programs and join a youth center. The youth center kept me focused and out of trouble.

Hicksville Youth Services services approximately 500 children a year. Tutoring is conducted Monday thru Friday, sports activities also are available. A summer recreation calendar, including trips will be available for the entire summer; this is at a very low cost, keeping these young children off the street, out of trouble, and out of jail. All of this is accomplished with a professional staff of six members and 30 volunteers. I believe the youth centers are the

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 foundation for the future.

Together, the Nassau Republicans and

Democrats can work together to keep our children

safe, focused on education, sports, and make

Nassau County the best place to live. Please

continue to support our children and give them

every opportunity to succeed.

I thank you very much for your support.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Jamie Bogenshutz.

MS. BOGENSHUTZ: Good afternoon. My name is Jamie Bogenshutz. I am the executive director at YES Community Counseling Center. We are located in Massapequa. We treat the families and children of Massapequa, Plainedge, Farmingdale, Levittown, and Island Trees.

I'm not quite sure what to say, which hasn't been said already to all of you. We are at such a crossroads. We have spent the past 30 years, many of us in this room, working so very hard, tirelessly, to create a system that keeps our communities safe, that keep our kids safe, that keep our kids healthy, that keep our families intact, and you are about to let it go

Full Legislature - 6-25-12

down the toilet, and I don't understand how. I don't understand.

Week after week we come before you. As Pat mentioned, we have our clients come before you, we have our families come before you. They speak of their heart. They speak of their loss. They speak of their experience. Yet, I'm still standing here begging you to find a way to make this work. And I don't know any other way to get you to hear this.

We submitted to you what we believe to be a very fair compromise. But it means just that, it's a compromise. It means that both sides have to give in a little bit. And the outcome -- our understanding of the outcome is that it would be so worth your while and so worth the while of every citizen that lives in Nassau County.

I was going through my bag this morning and I found this pin. Some of you back here might remember this pin. I don't know if you can see this pin. This pin came about in the year 2000, when the legislature, in their wisdom, decided that they were going to defund our system. And you tried, but it didn't work.

We're grateful it didn't work. And I'm just praying that we don't have to go through this again. Come July 5, come July 6 that you allow us to do what we do best, we do save lives. Our treatment works. We see it every single day. Please remember why you got into this business. I have to believe we share a commonsense of we came into this business because we believed in people, we believed we can change lives if we did

I'm asking you once again on behalf of all of us in this room, on behalf of all of those kids and families that you're not going to see until they're in your headlines, to please do the right thing on our behalf. You owe us that. You owe the community that. You owe your constituents that. And we're counting on you to do the right thing.

Thank you.

the right things all the time.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Kristen Ferrari.

MS. FERRARI: Good afternoon. I don't know how many of you had to look in the face of a child and try to find answers that just aren't

there. Have you ever looked in the face of a child who is a victim of abuse at the hands of their father and promised that you can make it stop, when you know that you can't make it stop and all you can hope for is that you will get the right judge who will realize that you are asking for a restraining order because your kids are in

danger and not because you're a bitter ex-wife.

Have you ever looked in the face of a kid who lived in a home with his mother who has violated her probation for DWI four times, yet she sits on a couch taking pills and drinking to such a point that she doesn't bother to leave the couch to use the bathroom. She sat in her own waste with her son in the house, a house that had been visited by the school, by social workers, and by CPS.

Have you ever looked in the face of a young girl who was cutting herself because she thinks she isn't pretty, smart, or loved?

I have looked in those faces; it is heartbreaking. So what do I have to say?

If you all know the importance of these agencies, if you all know the wonderful support

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

MS. FERRARI: I'm almost done.

Tom Bruno.

I want to

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT:

But when I was standing out in the lobby waiting

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've

the impact of the economy on three formerly

middle-class families in Boulder, Colorado.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reach.

lived in Colorado. And I hope some of you watched it, although I doubt that you did, and you realize that it is no different than what families here are going through. These were not people who dropped out of school. They had college degrees, good work histories, had purchased homes, not McMansions, had kids -- two families had kids with special medical needs -and they had good jobs, until they didn't. they are losing their homes, provided help by soup kitchens and food pantries, food stamps, and Medicaid to cover medical costs, just like the people here who did not have advantages and the ability to establish a middle-class life. they are just like the families and individuals struggling here in every neighborhood you can

Many did everything right. Some made wrong choices and are struggling to turn their lives around. They all deserve an opportunity to succeed and to live in safety.

Are you naïve enough to think that your children, grandchildren, and great grandchildren are protected from these things happening from

contacts?

them? Do you honestly feel that your economic stability and opportunities can protect your children? And if you do, why do you not feel a responsibility to protect the children of people who do not have your financial stability and

I have worked, voted, lived, and paid taxes on Long Island for 48 years. I'm ashamed of the behavior I see in our legislators and leaders. It should be humiliating to hear the people who elected you say that you don't care about them anymore or their needs. It is appalling that this is what our children and grandchildren are seeing as an example of American government in action. I've got some questions for you.

Do you know that when families with children lose their homes and go to DSS for assistance their older teenagers are not allowed to go into the family shelters for fear that they might do harm to the younger children? You certainly cannot want to send adolescent girls and boys into adult shelters, with adult homeless people, where they will be victimized or

2 | recruited into prostitution. Do you know that

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 foster children without special needs that allow

4 | them to stay in school and foster care until age

5 21, age out of foster care at age 18? Do the

6 kids in your family who are 18 have the skills to

7 | find a safe place to live, get a job, and support

8 | themselves? I doubt it. I'm sure you think not.

9 | Then why would you want to close programs that

10 help these young adults to gain the skills that

11 | they need to survive.

If your children or grandchildren were losing their homes, educational opportunities, and safety due to their parents' job loss, would you subsidize the family out of your own funds to help them survive? I'm sure you would. So do many of the seniors we provide services to. I'm director of senior services at Family and Children's. To the point that they will refuse medical treatment, because it's only available through Medicaid, which would mean that they would lose the title to their home, and their home is the only asset that they have to leave to help their children. They want to help their children so badly, they will go without food,

without the assistance of home health aides to take care of them, and increasingly they verbalized the hope that they will die so that their children will be able to access the assets that they have to survive.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Do you think only the children of the poor gravitate towards drugs? Ironically, it's the middle class and wealthy children that are more able to afford them. If families get into trouble, do they not deserve to get help to get back on their feet? If kids make poor choices and develop destructive habits or get into trouble with the law, do they not need a second chance, maybe even a third or a fourth? We all know of people that decided to turn their lives around and they did so, but they all needed help doing it.

If we cannot leave the world a better place for the coming generations, can we not leave it at least as a place of safety and opportunity? Don't we have a responsibility to leave it at least in the condition that we have

Full Legislature - 6-25-12

lived in and been fortunate enough to live here
in?

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

I am informed by the clerk that the 30 minutes for public comment has expired. I'm going to go right into the meeting of the Full Legislature. We have one item on for consideration. It shouldn't take all that long. Then we will return to hear the rest of the speakers in public comment.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer Schmitt, we respectfully request a 10 to 15 minutes caucus.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We're going to have a 10 to 15 minute caucus. Would the members of the Minority object to our hearing further public comment? You can hear it on the -- on your speakers in the back room, or do you want us to wait until everybody is back together?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We can hear it in the back. Obviously, we'll leave that discretion to you. We would love to hear it face-to-face so that we can actually put --

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We'll wait.
3	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We'd appreciate
4	it. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Ten to 15 minutes. I
6	ask, please, the Minority, to make it 15 minutes.
7	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature recessed
8	at 1:48 p.m.)
9	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature
10	reconvened at 3:03 p.m.)
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I'd ask all
12	legislators to please take their seats.
13	We have one item on the calendar, which
14	is Ordinance Number 177, which is an ordinance
15	making certain determinations pursuant to the
16	State Environmental Quality Review Act providing
17	for a capital expenditure in the amount of
18	\$40,800,000 to finance the payment of certain
19	judgments resulting from court orders on
20	proceedings brought pursuant to Article 7 of the
21	Real Property Tax Law.
22	May I have a motion, please?
23	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
24	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator

Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.

Who is here to speak on this item?

MR. VOLK: Martin Volk, Deputy County Attorney. Good afternoon, Legislators.

6 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good after

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good afternoon, Mr. Volk. Could you tell us briefly what this item is?

MR. VOLK: Yes. The administration is requesting approximately \$40,800,000 in bonding to pay certain judgments, not only what's familiarly called tax cert judgments, but also what is familiarly called SCAR judgments.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: What happens if this is not passed?

MR. VOLK: Well, if this is not passed, the county, if they are served with a money judgment will have to find somehow to pay it.

What could happen is that the petitioners' counsels can serve a restraining notice on the county sheriff requesting that he go out and find county assets and restrain them and/or seize them. If he finds bank accounts, by state statute, the bank is required to hold up to twice the amount of the claim. So we would be talking,

Volk a question then until we get someone from finance --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It's not a filibuster, Legislator Denenberg. If you've got a question for him, ask it; otherwise we'll move on.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. Mr. Volk, as far as these tax certs and the \$41 million, your job was to negotiate either these settlements or these judgments.

MR. VOLK: Legislator, most of these represent trial judgments, which most of them are gavel judgments, which means a judge said thou shall reduce the assessment, thou shall pay a refund.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So if this year is 2012, obviously, correct? We're in fiscal 2012, correct?

MR. VOLK: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So if there's \$75 million in the judgment for tax cert payments, \$75 million, if what's in the budget is used to pay this \$41 million, that's not your decision, right?

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 40
2	MR. VOLK: Well, except that I am
3	unfamiliar that there is actually \$75 million in
4	the budget. It's my understanding that that
5	portion is unfunded. However, I will defer to
6	the budget people on that since I don't deal with
7	the budget on a daily basis.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Chalmers is here.
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is Mr. Chalmers
10	here?
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Chalmers is here,
12	and Mr. Naughton is on his way. If you are
13	finished with Mr. Volk.
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I think I am on
15	this question.
16	Mr. Chalmers.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Come on up, Mr.
18	Chalmers.
19	Before we go into questioning, can you
20	give us your overview on this item?
21	MR. CHALMERS: Maurice Chalmers,
22	Independent Budget Review. I believe this item
23	is to pay for \$40 million in tax certiorari
24	judgments against the county.
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. That's to the

dependent on the bonding to make the funds

24

25

available.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 42
2	MR. CHALMERS: There is the 75 million
3	that needs to come in, yes.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the 75
6	million that was last year no money in
7	operating funds was put in for tax certs in 2011,
8	correct?
9	MR. CHALMERS: That is correct. Zero.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: On paper now, in
11	2012, there's 75 million for tax certs.
12	MR. CHALMERS: Yes.
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And that's
14	supposed to be out of operating funds?
15	MR. CHALMERS: That is out of the
16	operating funds, with a corresponding revenue of
17	75 also from capital funds.
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So why couldn't
19	we use that 75 million that's in operating
20	expenses to pay this 41 million?
21	MR. CHALMERS: The answer is you can't
22	do it because the 41 is specific to 2011 not
23	2012. The 75 is for 2012 budget.
24	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we're but
25	we're it's this year, we're in 2012

2 MR. CHALMERS:

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And in the past we've paid monies out of operating expenses, and then once operating expenses for that year were used up, then we borrowed. Here, we're borrowing before using operating expenses because we want to backdate it to 2011?

Correct.

MR. CHALMERS: Just because the 41 million is specific to 2011.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But why does it have to be for 2011 when the judgments and the approval by the legislature was in 2012?

MR. CHALMERS: That's a difficult question to answer. I know for a fact that the - - there's more than 40 million in tax certs out there, the 40 specific to 2011 and I don't believe you could use the 75 million to pay for it. You could only use the 75 to pay against the 2012 budget.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We've also used current operating funds or that year's operating funds to pay for tax certs that were settled or negotiated or approved by this legislature this year. So if we have 75 million this year, I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHALMERS: If you use a portion of the 75 million, I believe you could only use it for 2012. But also, you would have a deficit because you're not bringing in the corresponding 75 in revenue. Those two items go hand-in-hand.

the 75 but you don't generate the revenue, you're

to your question is if you end up using part of

24

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

MR. CHALMERS: I think you're talking

allocated is 91 million, is that correct?

24

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 47
2	about the unused fund balance, yes.
3	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So we have
4	\$104 million right now that
5	MR. CHALMERS: You can't really count
6	2011. You could use the unreserved fund balance,
7	
	if that was a choice, that this administration
8	made.
9	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: There's at
10	least 13 million ready to go and 91 million also
11	available. Plus, what happened with the 42
12	million that was transferred? Is that also ready
13	to go?
14	MR. CHALMERS: What 42 million are you
15	talking about?
16	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Last week,
17	the money that appeared the transfer we had
18	it on June 12. But it was brought up in Finance
19	last week.
20	MR. CHALMERS: That was part of the 2011
21	year-end close.
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So 42
23	million also switched?
24	MR. CHALMERS: I don't remember the
25	exact detail of that entry. But you can't really

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 48
2	count that. You could count the 90 million in
3	fund reserve. As a matter of fact, you don't
4	even have 90 million anymore, because of the 41
5	that existed in 2011 that depleted the fund
6	balance.
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The bottom
8	line is we're still talking about probably at
9	least \$60 million available if we need it for
10	something such as youth services, without
11	bonding, which, in my opinion, is also
12	endangering our youth. We're taking money from
13	their future, alright. The bottom line is there
14	is a lot of money available.
15	LEGISLATOR WINK: Mr. Chairman, I just
16	had a quick question for Mr. Chalmers.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Wink.
18	LEGISLATOR WINK: Mr. Chalmers, how are
19	you?
20	MR. CHALMERS: Good. How are you?
21	LEGISLATOR WINK: Based on your analysis
22	of the 2011 budget
23	MR. CHALMERS: Yes.
24	LEGISLATOR WINK: Does the \$41 million
25	being sought here today, does that cover all the

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	tax cert liability?
3	MR. CHALMERS: No. For the portion
4	that's owed in 2011, which I believe is older
5	judgments.
6	LEGISLATOR WINK: Only for the
7	judgments, not the full liability of what's owed.
8	MR. CHALMERS: No, it's not for the full
9	liability.
10	LEGISLATOR WINK: For the year 2011.
11	MR. CHALMERS: Correct.
12	LEGISLATOR WINK: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Sullivan and Mr.
14	Naughton, would you come up please? You heard
15	some of the
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Good afternoon.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good afternoon. How
18	are you, sir?
19	Mr. Naughton, do you want to sign in for
20	the record.
21	MR. NAUGHTON: Eric Naughton, Budget
22	Director.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You've heard some of
24	the questions, and I'm sure there will be a few
25	more. Anything you want to say about this item

or should we just go right into the questions?

3

4 money that is budgeted there, that was at the

5

1

2

request of NIFA. And without the revenue coming

MR. SULLIVAN: Just commentary.

6

in, which NIFA -- that was part of the

7 8

four years. So without the corresponding revenue

transitional financing of the \$305 million over

9

coming in from the financing, there would be a

10

\$75 million deficit, in that case.

11

glaze over, my own included, with these numbers

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: As everybody's eyes

12 13

that are being thrown about, I just want to put

14

it in simple terms so I understand it. It is

15

16 disingenuous to go to the budget and say there's

a \$75 million line here to pay tax certs, use

following this correctly, unless \$75 million is

disingenuous, correct me if I'm wrong, it is

17

18

that money, when that money is not there, if I'm

19 20

bonded. Is that correct?

21

MR. SULLIVAN: It would lead to a \$75

22

23

million deficit.

Okay. Now, who would

24 like to ask -- anybody on this size have any

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT:

25 questions? Hold on. Legislator Ford. We'll go

LEGISLATOR FORD: But there could only

MR. NAUGHTON: Yes. The 13 million assumes bonding, which requires 13 votes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So you could spend that money on one hand and then on the other hand not agree to bond it, you're just blowing a hole in the budget.

MR. NAUGHTON: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator

Muscarella.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: There's been a lot of talk about using reserves to pay the tax certiorari. I think you've heard that from the other side. Why can't we do that or can we do that?

MR. NAUGHTON: First of all, as Budget Director Naughton just stated, it's contemplated right now, we'd be blowing through about half the fund balance. I would expect the rating agencies to view that extremely negatively, which would lead to increased costs for future borrowing and things like that. So that would bring our fund balance down to about one and a half percent, which is below our threshold of good, prudent

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 budgeting.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: This is conjecture and maybe you can't answer this. But if we were to use the reserves, how would NIFA view that? Is that something that NIFA would get involved in or they would leave that to our own discretion?

MR. SULLIVAN: I believe NIFA would view that negatively. It's just poor budget practice to have no fund balance. I think if you look at any municipality throughout New York State you'd see fund balances, the stronger the credit the higher the percentage of fund balance. So, again, we'd be whittling through almost half of our fund balance if we don't appropriate -- if we don't approve the financing for the tax certs that are being considered today.

MR. NAUGHTON: And just to add, NIFA did approve a plan that assumed borrowing, so you would think that NIFA, by that action itself, prefers the borrowing other than drawing down your fund balance.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So this bonding is consistent with NIFA's approvals?

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 that already occurred. So the purpose of last week's action was to cover deficits in certain line items. So you were removing money from some lines that had surpluses to lines that had deficits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Correct. And the whole point of contingency is dealing with the surpluses. So we moved \$17 million last week -- we were able to move it, actually, we never voted on it but it was moved. Now that's real money and that brought the balance down to \$13 million.

MR. NAUGHTON: Again, I would not say it's real money; it's an appropriation. You moved appropriations. You're not dealing with cash. You're moving budget line items.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Last week when I asked if it was cash I was told it was.

MR. NAUGHTON: I don't believe that came out of my mouth.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's money that we can use -- it's money we can use for an expense that we have which deemed necessary, correct?

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 that.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: What if we don't use that 13 million? Where does it go?

MR. NAUGHTON: It goes to the bottom line.

MR. SULLIVAN: The bottom line, which is going to be a deficit, that's acknowledged by the comptroller's office. So if you want to enhance the deficit more, I guess that would be the.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: To get back again. We have 91 million that's for an emergency, right? In my opinion, closing the youth boards right now is an emergency, and I would like to see four million be used for that.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Denenberg.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. So, just a week ago we transferred 17 million from a 2011 contingency fund out to pay for, among other things, contractual expenses at the jail, and housing and intergovernmental affairs and salaries, and I've looked into it, mailings as well. That was all transferred from the 2011 contingency fund, 17 million, correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: Again, just to make the

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. But you don't want to use the 13 million that's left for 2012 expenses like youth board, correct?

23

24

25

MR. NAUGHTON: You cannot use the money

MR. NAUGHTON: But they did approve the

24

25

last week --

3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG:

bonding.

to do with it. And they also frown upon borrowing for operating expenses, as does anyone

6 who really looks at GAP financing.

MR. NAUGHTON: However, I think it's very clear that NIFA realizes that we need to transition into that process. That is why they approved \$305 million worth of borrowing over four years.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So after this 41 million you're going to come back for borrowing again and again, correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Legislator Denenberg, as you know, the period 2000 through 2010, we bonded over a billion dollars. You're well aware of that and I know you are. The plan that was adopted back in November, actually in December, included \$305 million of tax certiorari financing over four years. That is what is before you. That is what was approved by the legislature, and approved by NIFA and that became our multi-year plan.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Yeah, but the

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The reason why everyone's in this audience right now is because

24

there's \$41 million that you want to borrow in 12 to count it as revenue in 11, to lie to the

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You're going to be out of order with that kind of talk, Mr.

Denenberg.

public and say the budget in 2011 was balanced.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And this comes -let me finish -- one week after you took the 2011
contingency fund, took 17 million out of it but
won't use the other 13 to pay for tax certs. And
the misrepresentation you just made about the 300
million in borrowing is that NIFA has somehow
approved that borrowing, when NIFA conditions
that borrowing on cuts that the administration
has failed to produce.

MR. SULLIVAN: First off, sir, the 41 you were just referencing was back in December. It wasn't acted upon by this body. It was 102 million, of which 41 was the judgments. So to try to cross fiscal years and delay it for six months and say it never happened, there are 2011 and prior, most of the actions that we're settling there are not 2011 actions -- they are actions from 2009, 2008, 2007. That is the

Full Legislature - 6-25-12

makeup of tax certs. And I know, Legislator

Denenberg, you're quite familiar with that.

These are old, old claims. The lion's share of

which existed before this administration came

into power.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The reason why I

-- first of all, the reason why I wouldn't vote

for these tax certs -- and I think it's

ridiculous that you're asking people to come here

and try to lobby to mortgage their future. At

the same time they're receiving mail that said

the county executive won't borrow against their

future, you're holding up everyone in order to

borrow more against the future. So I think

Let me ask you this. If this borrowing today was approved, you're going to say that 2011 ended without a deficit, correct?

that's wrong to begin with.

MR. SULLIVAN: If there was financing available, that would be correct, sir. If we had acted in December like we should have, like the budget contemplated, then we wouldn't be here today dealing with this issue.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: People here would

2 | be here today dealing --

MR. SULLIVAN: Not dealing with the finance issue --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: if we stayed --

MR. SULLIVAN: if it was dealt with back in December. Because a letter came out from the minority stating that it was tied to redistricting. And we've been kicking the can for the last six months and that's where we are. It's a finance issue.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's simply -- that's simply untrue. You --

MR. SULLIVAN:

I can provide the letter.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: elected to take money in 2009 -- in 2009, and \$150 million gap was closed and this legislature gave a dedicated fund of red light camera to each and every one of these agencies. On May 21 -- everyone should

have been here on May 21 when that dedicated revenue was taken away because your budget is short. You have a shortfall in the budget. You just took 17 million out of a contingency fund in 11 that you're saying to these agencies we can't

25 use for 12. I'm saying use the contingency fund

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 66
2	from 11 to pay back the liabilities you had in
3	11, which were the tax certs, and don't take
4	their dedicated revenue source.
5	Once that dedicated revenue source is
6	taken
7	MR. SULLIVAN: It's a shell game when
8	you move things around and you say
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's what
10	you're doing. I agree.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: No, no, no. The money
12	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I agree. It's
13	your shell game. You just said
14	MR. SULLIVAN: The budget was
15	contemplated on the financing.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let me finish.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: No. I was speaking, sir.
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It's not a shell
19	game
20	MR. SULLIVAN: You interrupted me, sir.
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No. You
22	interrupted me.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Let him finish his
24	point.
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You're borrowing

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 67
2	money in 2012
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Let Mr. Sullivan
4	finish his point.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: count it as
6	revenue in 2011. But real money in 2011, you
7	don't want to use for 2011 or 2012.
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Sir, you said the
9	December financing that came before this body,
10	the 102 million, do you see a correlation to
11	what's happening today or none? Was it the same
12	tax certs that we put forward back in 2011?
13	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You wanted us to
14	borrow 102 million in December?
15	MR. SULLIVAN: That is correct. For the
16	settlements and judgments.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The same time you
18	were mailing to the people, at their dime
19	MR. SULLIVAN: I wasn't mailing, sir.
20	We're talking
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: that the county
22	executive isn't borrowing against their future.
23	MR. SULLIVAN: about a finance issue
24	here.
25	You didn't have a problem borrowing a

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I was at a NIFA meeting. How much -- which I know you were there

24

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 70
2	and heard. NIFA doesn't believe the savings that
3	are required before they would approve the
4	borrowing, which was supposed to be a 50/50
5	split, has occurred. I was at that meeting. Do
6	you deny that NIFA says that there's still 60
7	million more in savings that has to be produced?
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Which means we cut 90 out
9	of labor. And if you're advocating for another
10	60 million in labor cuts, sir
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That wasn't my
12	question.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: that is something I will
14	bring back.
15	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You just didn't
16	answer the question.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: Well that is the
18	question.
19	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Isn't that what
20	NIFA said?
21	MR. SULLIVAN: And we are in the middle
22	of working with NIFA. In fact, they're across
23	the street right now. And we're going to go back
24	and
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's why

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 71
2	MR. SULLIVAN: look for 60 million in
3	cuts.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Denenberg, let
5	him finish the sentence.
6	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But that's why
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Denenberg, let
8	him finish.
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: He'd finished.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Sullivan, were
11	you finished?
12	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: He's smiling. He
13	must be.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Were you finished?
15	MR. SULLIVAN: It's 150 million. And if
16	it has to be 150 million in labor, that means we
17	cannot use projected sales tax surpluses that
18	were referenced before. Any debt service savings
19	cannot be used as well, that will fall to the
20	bottom line. But the original deal that was
21	contemplated in the budget was \$150 million in
22	labor. And to Legislator Denenberg's point,
23	we're 60 million short and that means we're going
24	to have to go back and that's where our actions
25	have to be directed.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 73
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Fifty million for
3	five years.
4	MR. SULLIVAN: That is way off.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We paid as we
6	went in 06, 07
7	MR. SULLIVAN: I can tell you, to the
8	letter of the law, 2009 had \$65 million worth of
9	borrowing.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: 2009 did not have
11	65 million.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: It was 114 million paid -
13	_
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No.
15	MR. SULLIVAN: the rest was financed.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Some of that was
17	from 2008 and you know that that's true.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Denenberg, let
19	him get in my God. Let him get a sentence
20	out, man.
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You keep
22	interrupting me.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Somebody's got to.
24	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Who here thinks
25	Mr. Schmitt keeps interrupting me?

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12 75
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The financing
3	then you would have to put 50 million in the
4	budget to pay-as-you-go for tax certs and
5	borrowing less than 50 million every year.
6	MR. SULLIVAN: No. You said
7	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That's what you
8	would have to do.
9	MR. SULLIVAN: I'll take the amount you
10	agreed to finance and I'll
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You couldn't do
12	it.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: put that in
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You couldn't do
15	it.
16	MR. SULLIVAN: this year's budget.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But in 09, when
18	there was a 150 million shortfall we put the
19	money in the budget. You're saying that I can't
20	use contingency money from last year, even though
21	you just took 17 million last week.
22	MR. SULLIVAN: And where did the money
23	come from?
24	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Can I use the \$22
25	million the \$22 million surplus I'm sorry.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	The \$22 million surplus that was just reported in
3	sales tax? Can we use that?
4	MR. SULLIVAN: What was reported in
5	sales tax?
6	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Sales tax for
7	2012 this is from your office.
8	MR. SULLIVAN: That's the year-to-date,
9	sir.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The surplus is
11	\$22.2 million.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: I would not call
13	something a surplus when it doesn't end until
14	February 2013.
15	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You called it a
16	surplus.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: Projected.
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm reading your
19	report.
20	MR. SULLIVAN: Projected. And NIFA is
21	not
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It says surplus
23	of 22.2 million.
24	MR. SULLIVAN: Projected surplus, which
25	NIFA will not give us at this point, sir.

differently. My expenses have to be covered by

revenue, and I don't keep borrowing, borrowing,

know that I do my home financing a little

23

24

25

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2012.

2 and borrowing to put myself in the situations
3 that the county keeps getting in. But right now

4 I'm looking out at an audience that is filled

5 with people who are youth services. People that,

6 to a person up here, we went out of our way

7 | because we believed so deeply to make sure, to

8 the best of our ability, through getting them

9 covered by the red light camera money which was,

10 by the way, something that this county executive

11 | at the time did not want to do, but the majority

12 | at the time said it's what we want done. And we

13 | managed to protect them, we thought, certainly

14 | beyond two years, where we're ending up now. I

15 | just don't understand.

It's 2012 money, \$8 million in the budget. Four million has been spent already.

It's now the end of June. Four million of it has been spent. So, in essence, we're not even talking about \$8 million. We're talking about \$4 million. Not money that was going to help 2011, money that's in the 2012 budget, that should be in the budget right through the end of December

I'm trying to just, in my mind,

policy decision.

understand it. I know there's such a thing as it's not real money. I know that there are appropriations. I know that there are expenses that we've transferred rather than revenue than we transfer. When I say I know, I know that's the terminology; I don't know what it's all about. But I do know that \$4 million in the scheme of things of 100 million-plus is so miniscule that the only person that is really honest, I believe, two weeks ago, was when Mr. Chalmers got up and said he can't talk about that because that is a policy decision. Whose policy decision is that? It never should have been a

And you know what our one mistake was?

Not making sure all of you were here before that protection of the red light camera money originally happened and it was taken away. So now, because that money was taken away, \$4 million, in all of these tens of millions we're discussing, are affecting the very people that cannot continue to function unless their four million is left alone. And I'm sorry. No matter how you explain it to me, it doesn't make sense

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to me that that's the only \$4 million that we could take to make this county whole.

I know I'm making a statement and I'm not asking a question, and I apologize for that. I'm making this statement because it's gnawing away at me because it makes no sense. These are the very people -- I've spent a lifetime on this legislature, fighting to protect. And I don't understand why they would be the very people that a county executive sitting upstairs was the one who joined with me when I was presiding officer saying we really didn't care what they were saying upstairs; we were going to do what's right right here on this room. And I think this \$4 million should be off the table. It shouldn't even be a discussion right now. This is something that should be there. That's how I feel.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Are you finished?

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm finished.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Before I call on Minority Leader Abrahams, I just want to put a couple of things into the record.

Mrs. Jacobs, when she spoke, mentioned

how she handles her home financing and how she does not borrow, and borrow, and borrow. And that may be true in the case of the home financing. But during the time that Mrs. Jacobs was the presiding officer of this legislature, I believe that was eight year, the legislature borrowed \$100 million-plus a year to pay for tax certs. During that period of time when the republicans were in the minority, not one time, not once did we ever fail to provide the three votes necessary for the bonding for tax certs to fail. We continued to do the responsible thing and to provide the administration, at that time, even though we differed with them, the tools

I won't even begin to comment on

Legislator Denenberg's diatribe, except to say
that there is a problem out there. There is a
big, serious problem out there, and it's these
tax judgments. It's not theory. This is not
maybe what if, and if A happens, B might happen
but it could be C. There's none of that. This
is facts. They're out there, they're coming, and
they have to be satisfied.

necessary to keep this county running.

1

9 10

12

13

11

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

It's judgments from 2011 that settles cases from way before we took over in this county. Those cases need to be settled. will be paid one way or the other. And while we can disagree about whether or not we should be taking money from here or there in order to pay them, they must be paid. And scraping together all of the available money that in the county that is available, can be made available in order to pay these judgments is what has to be done.

I'm sorry to say and I don't mean to start a donnybrook, but it's just a fact that there was a letter delivered in January, signed by all nine of the minority, saying that they refused to bond until and unless they get -- I believe I'm quoting, I can get a copy of the letter if need be -- that they get a fairer redistricting system then what they have now. They don't want to hear it. And I know redistricting is not what you're here for. You want your money, and I understand that.

But redistricting, for anybody who cares, we have formed a commission as per the County Charter. The commission has five members

2 appointed by me and five members appointed by the

3 minority leader, and a non-voting chairman

4 | appointed by the county executive. And it has

5 | its own budget and it is charged, under the law,

6 with going forward with a bipartisan, transparent

7 | redistricting process. There could be nothing

8 | more transparent than that. But this is not

9 about bonding. It's not about the youth

10 | agencies. It's not about the red light camera

11 | money. It's unfortunately and it's ugly. It is

12 | about politics, that's what it is. I understand

13 | they got their orders from their boss. And it's

14 democrat, political blackmail that is bringing us

15 | to this point.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They have been trying to force the county executive's hand. And why they went to the county, I can't begin to understand because he has no role in this process, but they did. And they're trying to force his hand to give them what they are not entitled to have. And the county executive, rightly, is not going to blackmailed and I can tell you I'm not going to

So we've got a bond on the table. If the

be blackmailed. And this is where we are.

1 Full Legislature - 6-25-12 bond is not passed, there will be consequences 2 3 that have to flow from that action of not 4 supporting the bond. It's not about being mean. 5 It's not about how this side or that side wants 6 to hurt you or hurt the kids. It's nothing about 7 that. It's about the need to pay judgments that 8 are coming here. I don't know exactly what the 9 timeframe is, but everything I'm led to believe, 10 it will be pretty quickly. Isn't that so, Mr. Sullivan? 11 Yes, sir. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: 13 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: There you go. So, 14 anyway. 15 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Mr. Schmitt, can I 16 ask --17 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Sure, Mrs. Jacobs. 18 I'd love to have a debate with you. You're one 19 of my favorite people. 20 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Thanks, Mr. Schmitt. 21 I really appreciate that. We do agree on one

thing; that a big problem is the tax judgments. We agree that the tax cert whole situation's been a problem from the very beginning, the way it's done in Nassau County, etcetera. But we did form

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pay-go under the prior administration, and we did have \$50 million set aside -- we finally got to that point of being able to set \$50 million aside in every budget. And pay-go means that it's part of the general operating fund.

Let me say something to you. If you're talking about decisions made and you're talking about priorities, the screwed up problems here and the screwed up problem is actually screwed up priorities. When you only can find the one block of people with a little measly four million -now, in our lives four million would be very nice to win in Lotto one day. But in this world, \$4 million, consider the whole, is literally nothing and is everything to everybody out there. is what's crazy. That is what where priorities quoted to be policy really falls apart. And, yes, I do believe the county executive put that forward. I don't believe anyone here woke up one morning deciding they're going to cut youth agencies.

I'm sorry, Peter. There's enough blame to go around all the way for why these people are here today.

2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: As Mr. Sullivan had indicated, NIFA is not allowing us, the County, advances we're making in sales tax against this 60 million more we have to make up in terms of labor savings. So from NIFA's perspective, we're \$60 million in the hole at this point unless we can achieve more savings from labor. You add \$40 million on top of that for the judgments that are not being approved today, in terms of the bonding, and you're looking at \$100 million deficit in a budget that's extremely tight.

It's been said over and over again, it's a measly \$4 million that could pay the youth agencies. You're in the business of providing a very valuable service. But the county does a lot of things that are valuable. So what's being suggested is we'll pay you and we'll deal with the consequences later. What are we supposed to do? Are we supposed to cut the child protective services workers that visit the abused children? Are we supposed to cut the health department that inspects the water supply? Are we supposed to

take cops of the street? There are no easy answers here. Let's save the youth agencies but cut child protective services doesn't seem to make any sense to me.

The fact of the matter is the size of this deficit, if they fail to approve the bonding, is so huge that no matter how you look at it, no matter how many numbers you throw up, it's going to affect every agency in this county period. There's no other way to do it.

This problem is so big that there is absolutely no way that you're not going to be affected, period.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,

Presiding Officer Schmitt. If Mr. Naughton and

Mr. Sullivan could come back.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You got to the back of the room awfully quickly.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I also may ask.

Before you actually take the vote, I would also

like to give a statement. If I'm the last

speaker, I would like to be able to provide a

statement as well.

How are you gentlemen? I just have a couple of -- I'd rather give the statement before.

I just have a couple of quick, quick questions. Granted, the folks in this room -- and this is not for any applause or anything along those lines.

The folks in this room are concerned about their funding. Obviously, we have made the connection -- not we, my colleagues to the right and the county executive has made the connection between bonding for \$40-plus million tied directly to youth services and health and human services that exist in this county. Obviously, it's also been stated by I believe the Office of Legislative Budget Review that the board transfer that was voted on last week is a mechanism. I know it's appropriation, it's not cash. But that's a mechanism to address a 2011 expense with a 2011 year-end board transfer. Am I correct in what I just summarized?

MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Basically, the issue of the \$40 million that these folks are

25

operating dollars.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think the rating agencies -- and I was reading over the report. One of the things that they like about the fact that the county has is NIFA's oversight to provide advantages to jump over these hurdles. Obviously, if the cuts that were being declared to made in February of earlier this year in the tune of \$150 million, which I believe we're not any closer to making, I believe we're at 90 or 100 -- correct me if I'm wrong. If that is the case, I think it would be misled -- we would be misleading the public if we just said that basically NIFA would support bonding in conjunction with the multi-year plan because they supported the multi-year plan, as would the credit agencies, because they also are expecting \$150 million in cuts for this year.

MR. SULLIVAN: That is correct. And NIFA is across at One West Street and we're meeting with them in five minutes. And the goal of that meeting is to find additional cuts.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Sullivan, I

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 appreciate that effort. But those cuts were supposed to be made in February.

MR. SULLIVAN: We're in negotiations, sir. And, as you know, in 2009 labor increases that were scheduled were kicked out and deferred until this administration. So that was one way they closed 2009, to deferrals with the CSEA, the PBA, the DAI, and the SOA, which is now coming due now.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand his point. There's no reason to gavel me.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The rest of us might want to hear the whole sentence.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm just saying I understand. All I meant to say is if he was going to go into a longer statement, which you are completely entitled to do, I understand exactly where it's coming from. I was just going to save him a few breaths, that's all I was trying to do.

I just want to make sure I emphasize the point that obviously I know you're going through these discussions. But I think it's very imperative for the general public to understand

1

2

11

thouse againg my gide of the sigle to guppert is

that the \$40 million, the very \$40 million which

3 | they're asking my side of the aisle to support is

4 going to be addressed through -- let's just call

5 | it a mechanism, because we're using

6 appropriation, cash, and chances are a lot of

7 | people are probably not being able to follow the

8 | terminology quite as well. But the fact remains

9 | that that issue that they're being tied to --

10 | we're talking about people are being tied to, is

being addressed. So, clearly now, if the

12 | legislature -- I'm sorry. If the county

13 | executive decides to go forward with a cut on

14 | July 5, of cutting youth board agencies to the

15 | tune of, as Ms. Jacobs has indicated, \$4 million

16 | for the rest of this year, then that's strictly a

17 policy decision. That's no longer a decision to

18 | ensure that we're able to cover the \$40 million

19 | that's exposure in 2011. Am I correct by saying

20 | that?

21 MR. SULLIVAN: Sir, as you referenced

22 before, I think there are two gaps here. There

23 | is that issue, but there is also the \$150 million

24 | labor target that NIFA has established for 2012.

25 | So, as you rightly point out, we're about 50 to

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 9
\$60 million short on that. So that's, you know -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Then Mr. Sullivan, then -- let me just be clear then. Then what we're talking about is plugging a hole -- their \$4 million -- for something that they're not here for today. We're here to talk about a \$40 million item in bonding. What you're saying basically is now we're just going to shift their fight to the \$150 million. Any youth agency that I have spoken to over the last, I would say, couple of weeks, I've always told them without knowing how the administration was going to identify the \$150 million, they are still vulnerable whether this 40 million gets done or So the fact remains the \$40 million that not. everybody thought they needed us to bond for today, that's being addressed through this mechanism that's being done. But now you have a greater fight. If the legislature doesn't approve any bonding, now you're tied into \$150 million, the same \$150 million which has not been met and it's overdue since February.

this correctly. If you come -- if you can't make a deal with NIFA to get to the 150 and say, for example, you get to 125 or 130, then basically these guys are right back on the chopping block in a couple of weeks. Am I correct by saying that?

MR. NAUGHTON: No, that is not what we were saying. We were saying that the \$41 million issue, yes, it's being taken care of through this mechanism, to use your term. However, that mechanism is not a prudent method to handle the issue. Therefore, we need to be able to address how we're going to make up that \$41 million deficit that's being created, and the youth agencies is a mechanism to help make up that \$41 million deficit.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand that.

And you also have a deficit -- I don't want to

use deficit because generally we're looking for

savings. But you also have an issue of where

you're looking for an additional amount of

savings. What's that number that you're looking

for? I hear it's 90 million achieved today. I

heard it was 100. I've even heard as high as

120. What's the number that you're looking for that you are negotiating with NIFA?

MR. SULLIVAN: We're meeting with NIFA now, sir. It's somewhere between 50 to \$60 million of prospective actions. I think it's closer to 50.

to \$60 million that you are looking for in additional savings could very well -- what I'm trying to ask Mr. Naughton and maybe I'm not being clear. What I'm trying to figure out is what if NIFA says, okay, we're only going to -- we can only come to an agreement on 125. Then where does that leave the administration?

MR. NAUGHTON: The problem that we're having with NIFA right now is that we have identified the \$50 million; they don't like the choices that we've made. We have identified \$30 million of debt savings. That is a legitimate number based off our borrowing. We're not going to spend the money. We feel that is a legitimate way to close the gap.

NIFA has come back and said to us, no, we want it from labor. So based on that scenario,

Full Legislature - 6-25-12

the youth agencies would not be impacted because

they are looking for labor cuts.

respect your position in negotiations. I really do. But the bottom line is if they're not agreeing to it, then you don't have it. So let's get back to the point again.

The point is if you don't get to the \$150 million, like the policy decision that's being made today even though you got the 40, you're coming up with a different mechanism but you got the 40. The mechanism today is more of -- the decision today to cut youth board agencies in a couple of weeks is more of a policy one. So what I'm asking is what's the policy going to be if you can't come to an agreement with NIFA on the 150? You've set out a path to get to the 150, and they have to agree to it. What if they agree to 125? This is a finance policy.

MR. NAUGHTON: Clearly, we are still working on how we it will be done.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Legislator Abrahams

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	brings up some good points. But my question,
3	then, I think I've gone to some NIFA meetings
4	as well, and I've written them.
5	Were you finished, Kevan? I'm sorry.
б	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. Go right
7	ahead, Denise.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No, no. He's not.
9	Finish
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I have a
11	statement.
12	LEGISLATOR FORD: I didn't want to jump
13	ahead of you.
14	Considering the fact that NIFA is holding
15	the county executive into \$150 million in labor
16	savings, correct?
17	MR. NAUGHTON: That is correct.
18	LEGISLATOR FORD: And that is a separate
19	issue completely different from the judgments
20	that are being held against us for \$41 million,
21	correct?
22	MR. NAUGHTON: Correct.
23	LEGISLATOR FORD: So that even if if
24	the county executive, you can only negotiate \$42
25	million say or \$40 million in labor savings, NIFA

would still consider it a \$20 million deficit in labor costs, correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: Ten million, yes.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Ten million.

Something that -- would it impact any of this, the borrowing that we are asking for the tax certs, correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: Correct.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I just want to point out though, too, because I know that for all of us, with this \$42 million that we are asking to bond, it is very difficult. And I know that NIFA, and I have written to NIFA in regards to this, because I know that I think I might have the years wrong. In 2005 or 04 they had authorized \$190 million for bonding. Correct? At some point.

MR. NAUGHTON: Correct.

LEGISLATOR FORD: And I had asked them if they would at least, at this time, help us -- allow the county executive to access the \$42 million from that, you know, so that we can then avoid all of this, so that we're not holding people hostage or -- you know, because not only

Full Legislature - 6-25-12

are we looking at cuts to our youth groups, but

there is 36 other million dollars that other

people are going to be impacted by the cuts

there, correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: That is accurate.

LEGISLATOR FORD: And it may go into,
like as Legislator Nicolello said, that other
agencies will feel the pain just as well. We may
lose, whether in child protective services -we've already seen in the Nassau County Jail that
a lot of corporals had been demoted at a loss of
pay for them and a lot of changes that have been
going on that have been impacting our workforce,
correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: Right. And I think where that needs to be recognized is that this administration has already reduced the workforce through layoffs, through incentive programs, so we've already taken many cuts and we will have to do more.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Right. And aside from
-- and I know that we have the reserve fund, the
fund balance which we need to make sure that our
bonding stays at a lower stays at a lower rate

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	than if we had like, if it came at a lower
3	rate and we bonded anymore in the future, we'd
4	have to pay a higher interest rate. Is that
5	correct?
6	MR. NAUGHTON: That is right.
7	LEGISLATOR FORD: And, you know, just to
8	remind even our fellow legislators to the left of
9	me, way on the left, on the democratic and
10	minority caucus, that we are prepared if the
11	bonding is voted on today, the \$42 million, that
12	I know that this side will be willing to vote to
13	restore the red light camera funding to the youth
14	groups.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Can we bring Mr.
17	Kopel, I need you in your seat. We're going to
18	vote.
19	Legislator Troiano, and then we're going
20	to wrap this up after DeRiggi-Whitton.
21	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Thank you. Good
22	afternoon.
23	MR. NAUGHTON: Good afternoon.
24	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: There's been a lot
25	of discussion today about numbers, 91 million, 13

million, 41 million, 8 million, and 4 million and it makes everybody's head spin. As the Presiding Officer said, it causes your eyes to glaze over.

We know through all of that -- and I think you would agree to this -- whether it's household budgeting or government budgeting, that budgeting, like life, is a series of choices and that one always has choices to make about how to spend money, whether it be in your household or your government. Is that correct?

MR. NAUGHTON: That would be fair.

Thank you. Although we have heard today from some of my colleagues on the far right of me that there is no choice, that it's either cut these contracts or cut child protective services. So we put one other agency against the other agency, both of which we want to support and they know we want to support. So they set up a Hobson's choice, in which we're left saying we have no choice but to cut the youth services. But, in fact, as you already acknowledged, budgeting is a series of choices, of setting your priorities.

So I felt challenged by the other side to come up

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	with something else that you might choose to cut
3	other than youth services and other social
4	organizations. And the one that I found from
5	just a very quick review of the budget was the
6	county executive's constituent service office,
7	which was originally budgeted at about \$2 million
8	and somehow in May got up to, go increased to
9	\$3.8 million at the same time that we're talking
10	about cutting direly needed services of \$4
11	million. And tell me about that choice. A
12	choice we have between having our children be
13	harmed or serving the county executive's office
14	by continuing to give out mailings on his behalf,
15	saluting what a great job he's doing for
16	children. No thank you, Ed Mangano.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: I would say that one of
18	the first things they did when they took office
19	was the county executive's budget was reduced by
20	a million dollars.
21	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: You increased his
22	budget this year to \$3.8 million in May. Make
23	that choice make sense to me when we're cutting
24	\$4 million from them.
25	MR. SULLIVAN: I don't think that's

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 accurate, sir.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Mr. Naughton, this is your May -- just got it on Friday. This is your May county budget report. Page 28 says that the 2012 adopted budget is \$2.771 million. The May projection now has been increased by \$1,050,000 to \$3.818 million. Page 28 of your own budget report. Don't tell me that's not accurate. If you tell me that then your report is not accurate.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.

MR. NAUGHTON: Just to set the record straight, the amount of projected spending has not increased. The adopted budget includes what we call a less savings. These are reductions that are still yet to happen. But because they have not happened yet, we have not reduced our projection. So the budget is as you stated. However, that assumes some actions that have not occurred. It also reflects --

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: I'm sorry, Mr.

Naughton. That's all well and good but this is
what you published in May. The month is not

over. I can't understand what savings you might

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	be talking about. We've not heard about that.
3	That's not been the subject of this discussion.
4	All I can see is here.
5	Again, my point is it's about choices.
6	You made the choice to increase the budget. The
7	county executive made the choice to increase the
8	budget. We have been presented as though there
9	are no choices to be made, there is only one
10	route to go. The fact is you could just as well,
11	as you putting money into this budget, you could
12	have just as well put money into the youth
13	service contract budget.
14	It's all about choices, and you've always
15	got choices. And this is just one example that I
16	came up with in the last ten minutes, after being
17	challenged by this side.
18	MR. NAUGHTON: I just want to say for
19	the record that their budget has not been
20	increased. It is a
21	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: I'm sorry.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Please stop
23	interrupting.
24	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: In case you haven't
25	

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Troiano, please
3	do not interrupt him when he's speaking.
4	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: The adopted budget
5	
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Come on. Let's go.
7	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: was \$2.8 million.
8	It
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's it.
10	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: now stands at
11	another million dollars higher.
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Delia
13	Whitton, and then we're going to call the
14	question.
15	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I just have
16	a question for you before you go. In December
17	there was a budget given to NIFA for 2012,
18	correct? And Denise, you should know this. The
19	red light camera at that time was put into the
20	general fund, back in December. So this was
21	planned way before this. And this cannot be
22	switched back so easily, possibly because NIFA
23	might say no, you have to keep it where it is
24	now. So for them to be gracious now and say that
25	they'll reverse their vote is completely it's

2 premature. This was already in the budget for

3 | the general fund for 2012, starting back in

4 | December, number one. Number two, NIFA is

5 | probably not going to give us the okay to take it

6 out right now. So once it was reversed, it was

7 | reversed. And I don't think it's going to be put

back in an easily as these people think it can

9 be.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

My other thing is, very quickly. I know that everyone has been very patient. But what we're trying to do is to set our priorities straight for once and for all. I think, what, in two months, when they're back here looking for \$100 million, you folks are not back here as well. That's really what we're trying to fight right now. We don't want to see you be brought to this situation again. Understand that we're fighting for the big picture here.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. I'm going to call the question. Would you ask Legislator

Dunne to join us, please? We're going to have a roll call vote. And statements can be made as the members vote.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think you said

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	before that I could make a statement.
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Clerk, would you
4	call the roll, please?
5	CLERK MULLER: Deputy Presiding Officer
6	Gonsalves?
7	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Yes.
8	CLERK MULLER: Alternate Deputy
9	Presiding Officer Kopel?
10	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Yes.
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Troiano?
12	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: No.
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Solages?
14	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: No.
15	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Ford?
16	LEGISLATOR FORD: Yes.
17	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Scannell?
18	LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: No.
19	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Becker?
20	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Aye.
21	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Muscarella?
22	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Yes.
23	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicolello?
24	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes.
25	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: No.
3	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?
4	LEGISLATOR WINK: No.
5	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?
6	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Yes.
7	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?
8	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Yes.
9	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?
10	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: No.
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?
12	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Yes.
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-
14	Whitton?
15	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No.
16	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No.
18	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams?
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Before I give my
20	statement, I want to first say that what you've
21	witnessed today, what you've witnessed for the
22	last few weeks is not government, obviously, at
23	its best.
24	It's very clear that what goes on here
25	today or last week, the red light camera fund,

2 which was passed in 2009, no matter whether you

3 | agreed with bonding or not -- and my colleagues

4 | keep infusing redistricting. The bottom line is

5 | that fund basically would have eliminated you

6 | from being a part of this discussion. All of

this -- all that fund was meant to do was take

8 you out of the politics.

Clearly, on May 21, when my colleagues to the right decided to remove that fund, they threw you right back into the fray of what goes on in this legislature. The fact remains that when that fund was repealed, you lost all protections in order to ensure that youth and health and human services in Nassau County could continue to be provided without the looming possibility of being cut.

has made the policy decision to cut you. The cut that we were talking about, in terms of the \$40 million in bonding, the very item that everyone is voting on as we speak, is being addressed through a board transfer that was done last week. The very same board transfer which moves money from a particular area of the budget into the

treasurer's office to cover the certs that we are talking about today.

So, clearly now, if that issue is being addressed, now the shift goes to the entire 150. So now your four million or eight million is now being held hostage for a new day, a new number which ties to \$50 million or \$60 million in additional savings, which we couldn't even get a clear answer on the record, which if we do not provide those savings in agreement with NIFA, not too sure if you won't be back here in a couple of weeks when that agreement, if it does fall apart.

The bottom line is very simple, and I think my colleagues on our side have demonstrated the likelihood of this happening very well. You guys, unfortunately, were used as political headlines to try to come down, to try to persuade the democratic caucus to support bonding on a mechanism which now is no longer tied to you. We have all heard that very clearly. This was all done for nothing more than headlines.

Lastly, if I could point this out. If some of you may have read in *Newsday* last week, it clearly indicated that Judge Spatt, and it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This whole situation is further complicated -- and I'm tired of the denials. I have in my hand a letter dated December 15 from Legislator Abrahams, signed by Kevan Abrahams, Robert Troiano, Carrie Solages, Joe Scannell, Judi Bosworth, Wayne Wink, Judy Jacobs, Delia DeRiggi-Whitton, and David Denenberg, in December. In that letter it says, accordingly, we all the members of the Democratic caucus, while committed to working with you, where appropriate, we cannot and will not consider any

2 bonding proposal in the County of Nassau today,

3 | tomorrow, or during our term until a binding

4 | agreement is reached on independent, nonpartisan

5 | redistricting that can be approved by a

6 supermajority of the legislature. The last line

7 | in the letter says until there is a fair partisan

8 | redistricting, there will be no bonding.

Here we are. We have a commission, five and five, can't be any fairer than that. A process going forward according to the County Charter, same process that was used ten years ago; it was okay then. Still, no bonding for anything in the county, any tax certs in the county.

So, yes, you find yourself in the middle, along with an awful lot of other vulnerable populations. I would point out for the record that every time the minority quoted the NIFA reports and NIFA, where NIFA said that the savings had to come from labor, NIFA is saying that the savings have to come out of the Police Benevolent Association, the Superior Officers, the Detectives Association, the Sheriff's union, Correction Officer's unions, CSEA, all of the

church and state clause in our Constitution

25

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 seriously.

Too often we have seen politicians use religion to distract us from the real issue. With the introduction of religion, it is inevitable that rationality will exist that room.

I just wanted to, for a brief moment, put the focus on those of us who work in these programs.

My colleagues are very selfless. They are so focused on the kids they work with. I just want to, for a moment, focus on them. I'm very honored to be in their company.

We are the ones who are willing to work for ridiculously low wages. We are the ones who, on a daily basis, deal with the ugliness that humanity is capable of. We are the ones who, with scarce resources, keep the monsters from your door. Cutting our programs will not only leave a gap for youth, but far reaching socioeconomic impact on us and our families.

Children are easy targets. But let me remind you, children can't vote; I can, their parents can, our friends can, our families can, our supporters can, and there are many of us.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
4	Philip McCoulus. Philip McCoulus.
5	VOICE: He just left.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: He left.
7	Ted Levy.
8	VOICE: He left.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Ted Levy left?
10	VOICE: Yeah.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Judy Sanford-Guise.
12	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: Well, a lot of the
13	stuff I had taken notes on, you all have already
14	said and people out here have said. We do know -
15	- and someone was saying from the audience, I
16	don't know if it was heard by you, but we'll need
17	somewhere to send our folks when they call us.
18	As was stated, this was a choice to bring us into
19	it unnecessarily.
20	I'm Judy Sanford-Guise. I am here as a
21	trustee of Family and Children's Association.
22	We didn't need to be a part of this, as
23	has been said quite eloquently by others.
24	I was here now as an individual.
25	I did bring up a question last week.

I've done a little research. But since you all have the access the information again, I come back, to I raised the question -- and you did say, Mr. Schmitt, that we're looking everywhere we can to save money in this county, and I again bring up the green's fees and the discounts in the green fees. Which, as I was listening -- but there were so many zeroes being mentioned. I was checking from the county information the differentials in green fees. Again, in the name of full disclosure, I don't play golf, and I am probably never going to be play golf, even though I've been eligible for the senior discount, on Sunday it will be five years.

math. So \$30 regular, \$17 discounted fee, leaves \$13 at the red course, every time, in this case, a senior happens to play golf. And I'm assuming that the fee does not include a set of golf clubs. I'm assuming the person who is coming to play golf -- I'm a senior. Sunday I will be 65. I am assuming that the person is not necessarily borrowing the golf clubs. I've also spoken to some people who said without the discount they

Full Legislature - 6-25-12 would play golf.

At the blue course, it's a difference between 36 and 22. A good discount. Cart differentials, etcetera.

So, looking at the \$4 million that Ms.

Jacobs was talking about, I don't know. Could it be possible that there were 307,692 rounds of golf played as a discounted fee? The unrealized revenue for that is \$4 million.

where we could kind of find some money. Again, in the -- from good faith, from share sacrifice. And, as I said, when I made this comment last week without the numbers, I know that would make a lot of people really pissed in Nassau County, to lose that senior discount. I know when it went in, I know how proud people were of putting that discount in. I know what it means. But it sure as hell means an awful lot to the people who apparently don't matter.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

MS. SANFORD-GUISE: The neediest people. That's all I had to say.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	But I would like someone to come back to
3	me, you have my address, to let me know what is
4	the unrealized revenue from the discount at golf.
5	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Thank you.
6	Susan Lerner. Susan Lerner?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	Randal Malone.
9	(No verbal response.)
10	James Hughes. It could be James Hodges.
11	Sorry.
12	MR. HODGES: Hello. I guess I don't
13	know what to say. There's been a lot of
14	wonderful speakers. And the vote went forth.
15	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Go ahead, Mr.
16	Hodges.
17	MR. HODGES: I'm just as I guess
18	I'm sorry.
19	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Understandable.
20	MR. HODGES: As I think about going back
21	to my agency now, where I'm the Chairperson of
22	the Board, having to probably give out letters to
23	all of our staff and just think about the
24	programs that will unfortunately be cut because I
25	know we, at this time, you know, won't be able to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do them without the funds. And I just think about where I would be without the agency, that I'm now the Chairperson of, when I was young.

Of course, I know the staff and the volunteers will do whatever they can do. But I don't know if there's anything that's left to do. I know the bond didn't go through. I believe there still may be some things that you can do. I don't know. When someone picks up the phone, to probably think about committing suicide or different things that they need help with. just know that all of the staff won't be there to do it. We're going to do whatever we can do to try to provide. And I know all of the other youth agencies will do it, and substance abuse services will do it. I do want to just thank everyone for maybe what they have done in their lifetime for youth. But if this stands today, there will be a lot of hurt people.

Excuse me for my tears. I'm just thinking of what will take place for our agency in one week from today, after June 30. And actually some of our staff are looking at this now online. There's not too much more I can say.

I now just graduated Nassau Community

24

25

difference.

2 College in May. I was one of those kids getting

3 | bullied in middle school and throughout high

4 school, and this was the one place I went to, the

5 | Hicksville Boys and Girls Club. It really made a

6 difference in my life. I'm now a youth

7 development professional there.

It stinks that everyone left. I was going to ask the kids to get up. But if you guys can stand up for me. Anyone 19 and under, just stand. These are really the people that you're putting down right now. I'm sure there are a lot more than you know. You guys can put up the signs, too. Go ahead.

This is what was going on outside last week, rallying. You didn't get a chance to see it yourself, but now you get to look at it. I'm sure that everyone here cares about this.

These are all the kids that are representing themselves and their communities.

I have a poster here that you guys have seen. Peter Schmitt, can you read this really quick? We had just done a car wash on Saturday to raise money for Relay for Life, for people with cancer. Do you know what other services are

2 that's real money that is meant for nothing

3 specific. But you have \$13 million leftover from

4 2011. You can't take eight million out and find

5 | money elsewhere to bring it back?

I'm just going to make one last point and just say these kids in our facility, that go there, their parents don't even know where they are half the time. But they're with us. They're in a save, positive environment, and an educational environment. So without these Nassau County services that everyone has come here to use, what's going to happen? It's going to be political suicide.

Kevan Abrahams, I know there was another man last week that spoke. And you guys might know this, but we were fighting mad for the past two weeks, and we're going to keep doing it now.

Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Santiano, just so you know, you had mentioned using the contingency for the eight million, which I guess has debated whether or not it's four million or eight million. We actually agree with your position, just to let you know that.

Full Legislature - 6-25-12
ruii legisiature – 0-25-12
Unfortunately, like minds do not agree with
yourself and us. Unfortunately. Just to let you
know. That wasn't a universal position up here,
I believe.
MR. SANTIAGO: There is money elsewhere.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes. And that
money is already being used to pay for the \$40
million in tax certs, the very issue that you're
being tied to. It's a policy decision,
unfortunately. A policy that we do not support.
But we are only nine members in the minority of
the legislature. As well as this is a decision
strictly made by the county executive.
MR. SANTIAGO: Thank you very much.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But thank you for
coming.
CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
Ann Petraro.
(No verbal response.)
She left.
Julie Ann Sabino.
MS. SABINO: Hi. I'm Julie Sabino. I'm
the project director at the Harriet Eisman
Community School. Crap. I said I wasn't going

to cry. And Mr. Solages, I'm a graduate of H.

Frank Carey High School.

You heard from my boss, Dr. Smith, you heard from my kids, and now it's my turn. And I'm the angry mother, like an angry bear trying to protect her cubs. You should be ashamed of yourself. I'm so angry. These kids woke up early. They stayed here all day. They believed that this was going to go their way because this is what they need. And all these degrees, and all the money that was spent on your education, you can't put your brains together and figure it out?

I teach these kids that bullying is hurtful. And this is political bullying. And Mr. Nicolello, you can look at me because I looked at you when you were speaking.

I am so ashamed. Political bullying where the children are the victims. These kids and the 65 others that are back at school waiting for me to come and tell them that they may as well not come anymore because they're not going to get their diploma. And how can I encourage these kids, who are finally of voting age, to

vote? How can I do that when their political reference point is begging legislators to keep their future part of their life? You're taking their dreams away from them. What are you doing?

Our job is to help kids, and we do our job every day. And it's your job to figure it out. I'm disgusted.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: James Morse.

MR. MORSE: My name is James Morse, and I'm the director of residential services for Mary Haven Center of Hope. And I had come here today because I did want to make one comment or a couple of comments. And I appreciate you guys explaining the political -- what's happening politically.

I've been in this field for 28 years, and I run a program called New Hope, which is 100 percent state funded program. So I'm not here for the sole purpose of protecting my program. But what I am here to say is that what I've seen -- we run a crisis center, and that's a state funded program. We do about 1,000 admissions a year -- chemical dependency. And what I have seen in Nassau County in 28 years is absolutely

unprecedented. And I know Jamie Bogenshutz said you're going to read about it in the papers. The problem is you're not going to and you are not reading about it in the papers because what we have seen that's unprecedented in Nassau County currently is the number of accidental overdoses

that is happening to those children.

I'm seeing -- obviously you know the change that we have seen from crack to heroin to pills, and you've seen the big ticket items in the newspapers -- the pharmacies and all of that. But the families, the families of the accidental overdoses, you're not seeing in the newspaper.

Several Thursdays ago I came to work and there was a voicemail from a father who had been in New Hope, and his son hadn't been in there for a couple of months. He said my son is dead, and it's an accidental overdose. We're seeing white, middle class, any high school in Nassau County -- from back then, they're now 22, 23, they're males, they're females, there are more females than there are males, and they're dying of accidental overdoses. It's not on purpose.

The father said, when he called me and he

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said that his son had overdosed, he said there 2 were four overdoses, accidental -- this is in 3 4 April, the same day that his son and an 18 year 5

old girl the next day. That's five accidental

6 overdoses in one day. There is an absolute

7 silent epidemic of accidental overdoses.

So when we get to the \$150 million and we're looking at -- there were some really great things, for me, things that were being said about choices that have to be made. So when we're talking about choices, we're talking about I would think that we would start with the life and death, and we start with the -- there's a medical epidemic that's happening in Nassau County. don't know if there is any way, when they throw them back into that mix of the \$150 million and making choices, can anybody get to the medical examiner's office? Because I can't get those stats.

> VOICE: Your three minutes have expired.

MR. MORSE: I spoke to the medical examiner myself, and she said every single day they're dealing with this. So, if there's anybody that can get some stats from the medical

tighten our belts. But again, we understand and

25

we do implore you to come to some type of agreement in order to get past this.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Legislator Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

I just have something real quick. I wanted to wait for everybody to speak. Obviously everybody's left, so not too many people are going to hear this. But I think it serves prudent that we correct the record.

Number one. The Presiding Officer cited a letter that has been described as, as he sees it, in regards to opposition on bonding for the county. But I just want to make sure the record is very clear.

There was a part of the letter that the Presiding Officer chose not to read into the record. The line states, Of course, the caucus will continue to vote for responsible bond ordinances to pay for prudent legal settlements. I think what the Presiding Officer also missed in his commentary is that this side of the aisle has supported bonding, not just once, not just twice.

do you live?

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: Where exactly do I
3	live?
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yeah. Valley Stream?
5	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: On the N-1.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Why don't you call
7	it's five o'clock now. We can't do anything now.
8	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: I'm not asking you
9	to do anything now. I'm asking you
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If you had called
11	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: whoever is in charge
12	of oversight and I also think you need to know
13	that for your constituents.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If you had called
15	your legislator instead of waiting to stand up
16	here and make a grandstand, we could
17	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: It's not a
18	grandstand, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: have had an answer
20	for you by now.
21	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: I don't want to be
22	babied by you. I don't like being babied by
23	people.
24	I have put this in process with my
25	legislator, who is aware of this.

1	Full Legislature - 6-25-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'll take a motion to
3	
4	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: But you all have
5	constituents he's not responsible for
6	informing your constituents that there were some
7	
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I will take a motion
9	to adjourn the Full Legislature, please.
10	MS. SANFORD-GUISE: major changes
11	yesterday.
12	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Motion by Legislator
15	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
16	All those in favor of adjournment please
17	say aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Any opposed?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	We stand adjourned.
22	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature
23	adjourned at 4:51 p.m.)
24	
25	

## $\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this  $2^{\text{nd}}$  day of July, 2012.

\_\_\_\_\_

FRANK GRAY